SYRIA: A GENERATION AT RISK?

FALL 2013 / ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:
HAITIAN FARMERS FIND THEIR VOICE
A COMMON-SENSE AGREEMENT MAKES HISTORY
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★ GIFTS THAT DELIVER REAL REWARD ★

This season, don’t just give the usual suspects. Put building tools on your most-wanted list and help a family living in poverty hammer out a better future.

See the full lineup at OXFAMGIFTS.COM
DEAR FRIENDS,

As I write, I am thinking about the intense heat and endless expanse of the Za’atari refugee camp I visited last month in Jordan—realities that underscore the urgency of the staggering humanitarian crisis unfolding in the region. About 120,000 people live at Za’atari, a fraction of the two million who have fled the brutal fighting in Syria. I’m thinking, too, of the abandoned mall I visited in Lebanon and all it says about the plight of Syrian families who have given up everything to seek safety wherever they can find it: around 2,000 people are jammed into the mall’s empty stores trying to make a home where no home was meant to be.

In the pages ahead, you’ll read about how the conflict in Syria, now more than two years old, is disproportionately affecting the lives of children, who make up at least half of the refugee population. That fact alone should spur the world to action—not with military options, but with laser-focused attention on finding a political solution. At Oxfam, the crisis and unfathomable loss it has brought to Syrians is our number one priority: We won’t stand by silently and watch others suffer.

Globally, armed conflict has brought profound suffering to countless people. That’s why I’m particularly proud of our decade-long commitment to getting the international Arms Trade Treaty passed at the UN in April and signed by the US in late September (page 12). Our planet will be a far better place for it—and it was your vision, your determination that helped make the treaty possible.

This year, we are celebrating 40 years of that spirit of activism. In the fall of 1974 250,000 people joined our first Fast for a World Harvest (page 3), launching a tradition of seasonal action against hunger that has raised millions of dollars for Oxfam and changed the way many people think about poverty.

Our tradition is to stand together against injustice. We need you with us.

Sincerely,

Raymond C. Offenheiser
President, Oxfam America
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FRONT: Mariam fled the fighting in Syria earlier this year with her husband and five children. The family took refuge in a room built in a car park under an apartment building in Qalamoun, Lebanon. Sam Tarling / Oxfam
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NEXT PHASE OF SUPPORT FOR RICE GROWERS IN HAITI

In Haiti, Oxfam recently committed $439,000 to five organizations for the second phase of a program to promote more and better rice production in the Artibonite Valley, Haiti’s rice basket region. The media in Haiti covered an event in Artibonite to kick off the new rice program phase: “The financing will support agricultural equipment, postharvest rice processing facilities, various technical training, and management of irrigated areas,” says an article in Haiti’s influential newspaper Le Nouvelliste. “[Oxfam America’s Haiti country director Yolette] Etienne noted the general objective of this grant is to improve the quality of life for the Artibonite Valley farmers … reinforcing the production system and commercialization of local products—particularly rice.”

IN THE NEWS

‘SOMALIS FACE A SNAG IN LIFELINES FROM ABROAD’

Fear of US anti-terror and money laundering laws is leading American and international banks to close the accounts of US-based money transfer operators who are providing a lifeline to Somalia. “The unintended consequences of these regulations is you could throw a lot more people into crisis,” Ed Pomfret, Oxfam’s policy manager for Somalia, told The New York Times. The paper explored the story following the release of Oxfam America’s report “Keeping the Lifeline Open: Remittances and Markets in Somalia.”

All Afghans stand to benefit from more effective and responsive law enforcement in which policewomen play their part—but none more so than women and girls in a country where domestic violence, forced marriage, sexual assault, and honour killings are shockingly common.


WATCH

HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS FOCUS ON SYRIA REFUGEES

AUG. 13, 2013

Clint Yoo, a South Korean student at Phillips Academy in Andover, Mass., came to Oxfam to conduct video interviews with staff on the humanitarian crisis in Syria. He and his classmates Hector Cho and Jack McGovern produced an impressive film called Stand Up for Syria. In a blog post, Oxfam America’s web site editor John Abdulla wrote that he was very impressed with the piece. “You won’t believe high school students made this video,” he said.

Watch the video and tell us what you think: oxfamamerica.org/standup.
Maybe you took part in a memorable Oxfam America Hunger Banquet®. Maybe you joined a dining hall fast on your college campus. Or maybe a friend invited you to skip a meal during the week before Thanksgiving and donate the money you saved to Oxfam instead.

No matter what, it’s very likely that your first encounter with Oxfam came sometime during the fall—meaning that you’re part of a 40-year tradition of seasonal action against hunger.

The tradition began on the Thursday before Thanksgiving, 1974, when 250,000 people nationwide fasted for a day or a meal in Oxfam America’s first Fast for a World Harvest. Four decades later, the season of harvest and abundance remains a natural time of action for the Oxfam community.

“As we gather with our families and give thanks for what we have, many of us think about how we can help people facing hunger everywhere,” said Nancy Delaney, community engagement manager at Oxfam America. “What drives these efforts is the conviction that, when we stand together, we can have a real impact.”

Over the years, these grassroots, volunteer-led events have raised millions of dollars for Oxfam. They’ve also changed countless perspectives on poverty and hunger; Delaney estimates that more than 850,000 people have attended Oxfam America Hunger Banquet® events. For many, that first step marked the beginning of a lifelong commitment to action.

This fall, help keep the tradition going. Get free tools to organize your own Oxfam event, or find one in your community, at oxfamamerica.org/act.
CLOSE TO 7,000 CHILDREN HAVE DIED IN THE BRUTAL WAR IN SYRIA, AND ONE MILLION HAVE FLED TO NEIGHBORING COUNTRIES. AS OXFAM GOES ABOUT ITS WORK IN THE CAMPS AND NEIGHBORHOODS OF JORDAN AND LEBANON, REFUGEE CHILDREN AND THEIR PARENTS SHARE THEIR STORIES OF TERROR AND LOSS, AND THEIR DREAMS OF RETURNING HOME TO PEACE. ELIZABETH STEVENS REPORTS.
In Jordan’s northern desert, there is a camp for refugees from the fighting in Syria. Its name is Zaatari. Families that have fled their homes arrive at this desolate spot with what they care about most—their children—and little else.

More than 120,000 people live here now, and more than half are children. They are safe from bombs and mortars—for the moment, at least—and there is enough food, water, and shelter to survive, but Zaatari feels very far from home.

Here, the wind and sand and dust can whip themselves up into blinding storms. In winter, cold rains penetrate the crowded tents, and in summer, there is the sun. “Nothing can describe the heat and sun,” says Oxfam engineer Farah Al-Basha. The glare and swelter of summer in the desert are almost unbearable, but going indoors just makes things worse: the tents and box-like shelters where people live are stifling.

For Syrians accustomed to their country’s lush greenery, it is hard to settle into such a place. But if the environment of Zaatari is inhospitable, so too are the places people have found to live outside of camp settings. Approximately 80 percent of the refugees in Lebanon and Jordan—the countries where Oxfam has focused most of its resources in this emergency—are living in host communities, occupying whatever space they can find. In Lebanon, they have gathered in more than 1,400 locations. Some live in squalid tented settlements; others have moved their families into garages, crowded rooms, abandoned buildings, or homemade structures made of cinder blocks and plastic sheeting.

Outside the official camps, they must pay rent for their housing (crowded living quarters for a family might cost $150 a month), but without access to employment, most can’t afford to pay for the roof over their heads. Winter last year was unusually cold, and as the summer draws to a close, refugee families throughout the region face the prospect of another season of privation.

But physical hardship is only one facet of their struggles. For many, it is what preys on their minds that troubles them most—the memories of the recent past, and fear for the future of their children.

FOR TOO MANY CHILDREN, SCHOOL IS OUT

Children are everywhere in Zaatari camp, doing what children do. They play and run around and explore whatever looks interesting. They find treasures in what other people throw away (if it rolls, it is a soccer ball). They make kites out of scraps and send them soaring. They have a keen nose for fun.

They are not, for the most part, in school. Some lost their hold on formal education back home, where the streets are dangerous and schools themselves have become targets in the war: the UN estimates that since the conflict began two and a half years ago, one out of every five schools in Syria has been destroyed, damaged, or converted into a shelter.
And though schools in Jordan and Lebanon have opened their doors to refugees, there is a host of obstacles to getting an education, from overcrowded classrooms to language barriers to safety threats to money.

“The children would love to go to school but they can’t. We can’t afford it,” says Ibrahim Naif al-Dokham, a refugee who now lives with his family in Mafraq, Jordan. Once a driver and the owner of a small store, he is not allowed to work in Jordan and must borrow money just to pay the rent. “I can’t do anything about it. Maybe we will get back to Syria after the war finishes. Maybe they will start studying again.”

The result: for refugee children who manage to enroll in school, a staggering 70 percent dropout rate. Numbers like these have given rise to warnings that the conflict is breeding a lost generation of youth that is both traumatized and undereducated.

WORK AT AN EARLY AGE
If the sight of children playing is common in the camps and host communities, so is the sight of children working.

Wherever the refugees have settled, boys haunt the streets and nearby markets, warehouses, and building sites in search of work for pay. Despite laws to prevent child labor, underage workers are a fact of life in the region, but the Syria crisis has intensified the problem: in Jordan alone, according to the Ministry of Labor, nearly 30,000 young Syrians are now engaged in child labor.

Parents explain that traders hire children because they can pay them much less than they pay adults. “Child refugees living near souks [markets] in Lebanon are hired to carry goods and sell them,” says protection officer Lou Lasap, whose job it is to make sure Oxfam is alert to the risks that vulnerable groups are facing in emergencies. “They are paid very little. Parents don’t want their children to work but say they have no choice because they need the income.”

WRITING IN THE DARKNESS
Some of the refugee children—adolescent girls in particular—are kept close to home for fear they will not be safe in the wider community.

Twelve-year-old Reema is one of them. (For security reasons, we are not using her real name.) Oxfam reporter Jane Beesley met her while documenting a program to help families pay their rent. Reema spends her days in a windowless shelter—the first floor of a building under construction. Back home in Syria, she was a top student;
as a refugee in Lebanon, she lives a life of stultifying boredom.

“I miss my friends, I miss my teachers, I miss my classes—my English classes, my Arabic classes, my music classes. Now, I’m just sitting here every day...”

She fills notebooks with drawings, poetry, and her memories of the war.

“I used to enjoy writing before,” she says, “but since coming here, after this tragedy, I have to write, I need to write.”

The sound of missiles was unbearable. I hid under the table. I thought it might protect me. As soon as I raised my head, I heard people screaming, people crying here and there. I opened the door to my room, ran to my mom, almost paralyzed with fear, screaming with all my strength: “Mom! Dad!” Mom and Dad and all my siblings gathered around me. We were crying and screaming. We all ran out to the street, fearful, not knowing where to go. I left home. I left my schoolbag, my notebook, my pencils. I didn’t finish my homework. I ran as fast as I could...

“I couldn’t stop writing even if I wanted to,” says Reema. “The sadness drives me to write all the time.”

‘I WOULD PUT YOU IN MY HEART’
The war has left its tracks on children who have managed to flee to safety.

“Very young children are telling us about how they’ve seen their neighbors killed and what they’ve witnessed,” says Oxfam media officer Karl Schembri.

Some have become withdrawn, others aggressive. Many say they can’t concentrate in school. After what they’ve been through, says Schembri, “they can’t pretend life is business as usual.”

They struggle with sleeplessness and bed-wetting, say parents, and when an ordinary airplane goes overhead, children run to their mothers screaming, “They’re trying to kill us!”

But when it comes to recovery, simple interventions can make a difference. In the therapy program of Oxfam partner Najdeh in Lebanon, coordinator Hana El-Eynein explains that children are encouraged to express themselves through art and games. They play with construction toys that let them imagine rebuilding their homes. “Day by day, little by little, the up-set expression on their faces changes as trust returns and they feel more hopeful.”

In Zaatari camp, Oxfam staff invited children to decorate the newly built water and sanitation blocks. The activity, says Schembri, opened up space for them to be kids again. “They made really colorful paintings. Lots of sun and trees and rivers. You find that children are craving for life.”

And parents crave peace of mind for their children. When bad dreams and the sound of missiles exploding in the distance disturb their sleep, mothers and fathers sing lullabies to let their children know it’s safe to rest.

Sleep, sleep my love,
So that I would put you in my heart...
Get a kiss from Daddy
And get a kiss from Mommy
So that my loved ones would fall asleep,
So that my loved ones would fall asleep.

LOVE IN THE WRECKAGE
“So many people have told me, ‘Our houses have been destroyed, but houses can be rebuilt,’” says Schembri.

It is a declaration of hope—of defiance, even. As the war and refugee crisis intensify, defiant hope is an antidote to despair.

So are the children who laugh and run around and paint pictures. Who hop on the back of a water truck to take a ride through a camp. Who swoop in to deliver a fistful of wildflowers to surprise the aid workers. And who find beauty and love in the midst of the wreckage of war.

Syria, my beloved country
Will I ever return back to you?
I had so many dreams
None of them will come true
All I want is to live in my country in freedom
Syria, my beloved country, I love you.

—Reema

OXFAM’S RESPONSE
Thanks to Oxfam supporters, we have so far been able to reach more than 200,000 Syrian refugees with assistance.

Our programs are focused primarily on providing water, sanitation, and hygiene education to protect public health in the areas where refugees have gathered in Lebanon and Jordan. In the Zaatari camp, we have built permanent blocks of water and sanitation facilities for 8,000 people that include showers and toilets that are wheelchair accessible.

For those living outside of camps in host communities, the greatest need is for assistance with rent; Oxfam is supporting particularly vulnerable households with installments of cash to help avert evictions. A partner in Lebanon is providing a group of women and their children with therapy sessions aimed at helping them recover from the losses and violence they have experienced in the war. And through the Voice Project, a partner in Jordan is helping refugees speak out about their lives and the issues they face.

Inside Syria, the water and sanitation infrastructure has been badly damaged; Oxfam has sent a team of technical experts to help improve access to safe water and sanitation facilities within the war-torn country.

Our goal in the coming months is to assist an additional 450,000 people affected by the crisis.

To learn more about the situation in Syria and what you can do to help, visit oxfamamerica.org/syriahelp.
“Come get the first of the storage bins.”

With this message, Joseph Fleurisca Racine was among the people summoned from a group of small farmers’ associations in Haiti’s Artibonite region earlier this year. These storage bins, funded by the US government’s Feed the Future initiative, were supposed to help farmers get their beans to the market at the right time to earn better prices.

But Racine and his fellow farmers now had a problem.

Just how were the farmers going to get these bins from the town of Montrouis up the steep and rough mountain road to where they lived? The grain storage bins were too big to fit onto the back of a motorcycle and, from the point of view of some farmers, too big to be useful. A truck would be too expensive to rent, and anyway, many of the paths to farmers’ plots were not passable by truck.

So members of the association, the Federation of Farmers for the Development of Goyavier, or FAPDG, paid to disassemble and reassemble the 15 bins to transport them up the treacherous mountain road. It cost them roughly $50 for each bin—an amount equal to 20 percent of average rural Haitians’ annual income.

A couple of weeks later, Chemonics, the for-profit contractor hired by United States Agency for International Development (USAID) to implement the Feed the Future project in western Haiti, called FAPDG to request that its members pick up the second allotment of 16 more bins. The farmers’ association, not surprisingly, refused. After what FAPDG went through to get the first lot of bins, they demanded that Chemonics transport the bins itself.

In the end, Chemonics—which received $128,033,860 to implement the project and is the largest recipient of USAID contracts in Haiti and around the world—obliged. It hired a truck and delivered the remaining 16 bins to the demonstration plot.

Last month, in a statement about the situation, USAID defended its practices.

“The distribution of the bins to FAPDG was carried out according to the grant agreement,” wrote USAID in its response. “FAPDG was supposed to ensure transportation of the bins to its headquarters in Goyavier, as part of the cost-share or contribution from the federation. ...The bins the project provided have met the needs and expectations of the federation, in fact additional bins have been requested to meet their total need.”

But that’s not how some of the farmers see it.

“The decisions clearly weren’t made in the association ...” says Racine.

How does goodwill go so awry? And how can farmers be better heard?

Part of Oxfam’s ongoing advocacy work is to try to answer questions like these. Our goal is to make the entirety of the US government’s poverty-reducing international aid more effective by ensuring it is led and designed by the people who need it most.

In May, as part of Oxfam’s aid effectiveness team, I traveled to Haiti to visit with farmers and ask these questions directly.

THE COMPLEXITIES OF AID

Doesn’t it make sense that Haitian farmers need a place to store their crops before they can get them to market, so that the crops don’t spoil or have to be sold to speculators?

On paper it does. The five-year project called WINNER (the Watershed Initiative for National Natural Environment Resources, also known as Feed the Future West) in Artibonite is part of President Obama’s Feed the Future initiative, a $3.5 billion commitment toward agricultural development that came in reaction to the global food crisis of 2008.
2008–2009. WINNER aims to improve farmers’ access to technology and agricultural inputs, reduce their transportation costs, improve access to market information, and reduce postharvest losses.

In reality, Racine can tell you that, despite good intentions, all of this is not so easy. When I traversed the road up to Goyavier, I met with Racine and about 20 members of FAPDG who recounted the story of the bins. They were also concerned that the still-unused bins—each with a capacity of 1.4 metric tons—were too big to be practical for the farmers at their current rate of production, and they wondered how the bins would be shared among FAPDG’s membership.

As I listened to the farmers that day, it became clear that FAPDG needed to improve communication among its members. It was also clear that, for US aid to do all that it’s capable of, everyone—from farmers in their fields to officials at their desks in Washington—must have a way to provide and share feedback on aid projects, knowing that their opinions will count.

But how?

That is what Oxfam set out to explore—and has begun to answer—with its partner, the Haitian Advocacy Platform for Alternative Development, or PAPDA. Its mission was to assess the effectiveness of the WINNER project in three communities in the Artibonite River Valley: Goyavier, Delugé, and Bois-Neuf.

PREPARING FARMERS’ FEEDBACK

PAPDA started by working with 48 leaders of local farmers late last year. Using international principles of aid effectiveness to make its assessment, the initiative also gave farmers an opportunity to understand their rights and responsibilities in the development process and to influence how decisions about international aid are made.

WHAT’S WRONG?

US aid intended to help Haitian farmers earn more from their harvests wound up doing the opposite: It cost them money—an example of what can happen when international aid donors don’t involve local leaders sufficiently in decision-making.

WHAT’S OXFAM DOING?

Oxfam is working with the farmers so that they can provide feedback to the US government’s Feed the Future initiative in Haiti. Improving the way the US government delivers aid can strengthen the voice of citizens and the responsiveness of governments.
US foreign aid can be a really useful tool for helping Haiti farmers grow more food and earn more income. But only if the people providing the aid are taking the time to listen to what those farmers need, then change their approach to respond,” explains Gregory Adams, director of aid effectiveness at Oxfam.

Frequently, it seems, large development projects become a check box system of delivery that disregards local concerns and the perspectives of those at the receiving end. International public and private funders disbursed a total of $9 billion in Haiti since the 2010 earthquake. Some have called it a “gold rush” for private contractors and international nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), raising questions about who really benefits from all this money.

“Too often, donors fail to give the recipients of aid enough control over how aid projects are designed and evaluated. But who better than farmers themselves to tell the US government if their Feed the Future initiatives are helping or not?” asks Adams.

“PAPDA came to find out what the WINNER project is supposed to do. If the USAID had done the same, all these problems could have been avoided,” adds Racine, comparing the feedback process with the storage bin debacle in Goyavier.

PAPDA’S research produced some clear results: the farmers saw an obvious need for WINNER to improve its consultation methods, transparency, and accountability.

The organization’s research also pointed to one important area where USAID had done well: USAID had liaised with the Haitian government, so USAID recognized that all parties were focused on the same, shared goal of increasing farmers’ production. It was a good place from which to begin a dialogue. Oxfam’s role was to emphasize how feedback from aid recipients can make development programs work better and provide funding for the initiative.

THE PUSH AND PULL OF FEEDBACK
In February, PAPDA hosted a town hall meeting in St. Marc to share the farmers’ feedback on the effectiveness of the WINNER project in Goyavier, Délugé, and Bois-Neuf. USAID, Chemonics, the Haitian Ministry of Agriculture, Oxfam, local civil society representatives, and the media were all in attendance, along with 118 farmers.

USAID and Chemonics presented the breadth of the WINNER project and the results achieved so far, noting that the project overall has increased the output of nearly 15,000 farmers in western Haiti, generating more than $7 million in income. They also questioned the validity of PAPDA and the farmers’ associations’ findings since the research covered just 2 percent of the project beneficiaries. They raised these concerns again in March, when representatives of PAPDA and the farmers associations attended a Capitol Hill briefing in Washington hosted by Oxfam.

WHO BETTER THAN FARMERS THEMSELVES TO TELL THE US GOVERNMENT IF THEIR FEED THE FUTURE INITIATIVES ARE WORKING OR NOT?

GREG ADAMS, DIRECTOR OF AID EFFECTIVENESS, OXFAM AMERICA

But Pierre Harmony, a representative of the farmers’ associations who attended that briefing, dismissed the concerns as technicalities that did not register with his reality.

“A professor showed a document telling what WINNER had done, but it meant nothing to me. I’m the one who can tell you the results,” he said when I met with him in May. “The presentation might be great, but they haven’t accomplished anything for farmers.”

USAID staffers in Haiti have an interest in engaging with farmers and in minimizing the potential risk if “bad press” were to reach Congress, where aid programs are often on the chopping block, even though they represent less than 1 percent of the US federal budget.

“The farmers acknowledged that in no way were they trying to bring the project down or make a general assessment of USAID, or even all the WINNER project,” said Omar Ortez, Oxfam’s senior policy adviser for citizen engagement, who attended both the town hall meeting and the Congressional briefing. “What they were saying is, ‘This is...
feedback from our direct experience of the project. Here it is. Let’s talk about it.”

These farmers were not the first to raise concerns about USAID’s and Chemonics’ practices in Haiti, about which there are various unfavorable US Office of the Inspector General reports. Critics say the documented mistakes in Haiti are emblematic of those that occur frequently in other places, which is why Oxfam continues to engage the US government on these issues.

At the end of the day, the dialogue with farmers in Artibonite was fruitful. Even with USAID’s own extensive monitoring and external review system in place, USAID questioned why the feedback was coming to PAPDA and not to USAID. So USAID asked PAPDA and Oxfam to sit at the planning table for its next Feed the Future project in northern Haiti and to discuss how USAID can strengthen farmers’ associations in the future. PAPDA will also continue to develop its data-gathering skills to make aid projects more transparent in Haiti, and Oxfam is looking at the effectiveness of the WiNNER project in other sites in Haiti.

FARMERS FIND THEIR VOICE

In May the farmers told me not much has changed—yet—in terms of improving aid delivery. But PAPDA’s work had accomplished something perhaps even more important. As I talked with them, I realized these farmers no longer saw themselves merely as spectators to the aid flowing into their community. What made a difference to them wasn’t the opportunity to register their complaints about the project. Rather, PAPDA’s work had strengthened these citizens’ ability and resolve to engage with power structures—be they international aid donors or the Haitian government—to influence the direction of aid in the future.

Racine in Goyavier described it in tangible terms: “We have a glimpse of what to do next, when another NGO comes. People have to come with a written document of what the project will look like to see if it’s what we need. We can offer alternatives and contribute our own resources … and we can ask for translation into Kreyòl!”

Multiple times I heard Franck Saint Jean, PAPDA’s aid effectiveness program coordinator, reiterate to the farmers, “You are depending on yourself. They’re not doing you a favor. It’s how the [aid] is given to you that’s going to determine if your independence is built.”

When it comes to international aid, people have a right to weigh in on decisions made in their name. My time in Haiti reminded me that making aid more effective is certainly a long and winding road, with change at every level necessary.

Though I heard farmers debate about how much criticism of aid is acceptable, I also heard the following during the farmers’ meeting in Bois-Neuf:

“We have opened our eyes. I want the whole country’s eyes to be opened on this issue [of aid effectiveness].”
Not far from Mali’s border, a sea of shelters—some are tents, some are made from sticks and recycled sacks—washes across the sun-baked earth. This is Burkina Faso’s Mentao refugee camp, home to streams of Malians seeking safety from the fighting in their country. Among them is Bintou Walet Mohammed Ali, a 21-year-old student whose life has been interrupted numerous times because of conflict in Mali.

“We are in a country where we are refugees all the time,” says Ali, one of hundreds of thousands of Malians who have fled since 2012. “We are forced to leave the city to take refuge in another city or in the bush—where there is no network, no movement—in order to be safe.”

Ali’s words—weighted with weariness—could have spilled from the hearts of countless people around the globe whose homes, families, and livelihoods have been ruined by armed conflict, much of it fueled by weapons flowing freely across international borders.

But in April, world governments at the UN took a historic step toward alleviating some of that profound loss: In an overwhelming vote for humanity, they approved, 154 to 3, an international arms trade treaty (ATT). The move capped a decade of intense campaigning by Oxfam and its allies.

“The world has come together and said, ‘Enough,’” Anna Macdonald, head of arms control for Oxfam, told a gathering of delegates at the treaty’s signing ceremony in June. “The most powerful argument for the ATT has always been the call of the millions who have suffered from armed violence around the world.”

For Malians, many of whom have taken refuge in other countries repeatedly during the past 20 years, a treaty regulating the multi billion-dollar global trade in conventional weapons may help bring a new measure of stability to their communities—allowing them a chance to thrive. Mali was one of just three countries, along with Cambodia and Costa Rica, to support the idea of a treaty when Oxfam and others first began to campaign for it. And Mali was among the 67 countries to add its name the day the treaty opened for signatures.

The Tuareg insurrection of 2011 was able to start and be so successful because of the return of Tuareg exiles from Libya with large amounts of small arms, light and medium weapons looted from Libyan arsenals,” says Martin Butcher, an Oxfam policy adviser on the Arms Trade Treaty. “This meant [that] together with other armed groups they outgunned the very poorly equipped Malian armed forces. The groups who exploited the Tuareg insurrection were also armed from Libyan arsenals, and as a result of illicit arms trafficking across the region. This has been a long-term problem for Mali, becoming worse since the 1990s.”

The insulation erupted just as much of the Sahel region was entering a serious food shortage, sending the price of basic staples soaring. In Bamako, Mali’s capital, the cost of food more than doubled, a crushing burden for many families who were already spending 60 percent of their household income on food. For people in Mali’s north, the conflict compounded their hardship.
"In my personal experience, I can attest to the negative effect that armed conflict has on the lives of people," Amadou Maiga, a Malian journalist, told Oxfam shortly after visiting Gao, a city in the north, in late July. "It feeds insecurity, destroys all the infrastructure. There are no banks, no health centers, no industrial production, no markets. All is destroyed."

Though a treaty can help only so much because of other political issues in the country, if one had been in place, says Butcher, some things might have been different in Mali.

"Border controls are extremely hard in the Sahel, but properly equipped and trained security forces could do a better job than has been done. Security sector reform programs would ensure that arms already in the country were properly safeguarded. Libya would likely not have been able to stockpile the amount of arms it did if proper global controls were in place. All these elements would have reduced the risk to Malian stability," he says.

And they can help ensure stability for many other countries, too.

"If this treaty can be used rigorously and universally, it will put an end to the irresponsible arms trade that fuels so much suffering in the world," says Scott Stedjan, a senior policy adviser on humanitarian response at Oxfam. "It’s a common-sense agreement that will mean good things for people living in armed conflict and will help reduce the poverty that conflict feeds."

LOOKING AHEAD
Although it will be some time before the provisions of the treaty are in place and can begin to stop unscrupulous dealers, the basic tenet of the agreement is simple: to ban the transfer of arms when they will be used for terrible crimes. Specifically, the treaty covers the international trade of conventional weapons such as battle tanks, attack helicopters, missiles, and Kalashnikovs. And, as important, it covers the ammunition that fuels them.

One thing the treaty does not do is regulate the trade, manufacture, or use of weapons inside any country. For US citizens, that means the treaty has no effect at all on their Second Amendment rights to bear arms—though that hasn’t stopped the National Rifle Association from opposing the agreement and working to derail it.

Despite that pressure, the US was among the nations to approve the treaty back in April, and in late September signed it, joining 106 other countries that have added their signatures so far.

Next comes ratification—a critical step that 50 nations must take before the treaty goes into force. In the US, ratification requires the consent of two-thirds of the Senate. As this issue of Closeup went to print, six countries—Guyana, Iceland, Nigeria, Costa Rica, Trinidad and Tobago, and Antigua and Barbuda—have followed through with ratification.

"Projections show that in a little more than a decade from now as many as 80 percent of poor people will be living in countries with conflict or high levels of instability," says Stedjan. "Any strategy to end extreme poverty must address its causes, and armed violence is one of them. The treaty is one of the best opportunities we’ve had in a long time to right the wrong of poverty. It’s time for our senators to do their part."

ABOVE: At the Mentao refugee camp in Burkina Faso, Fanka Soumani builds a shelter from whatever materials she can find. She arrived at the camp after fleeing fighting in Timbuktu, Mali. Pablo Tosco / Oxfam
A SMALL ACT CAN MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE.

This fall, join thousands around the country in a long-lasting tradition: Skip one meal on the Thursday before Thanksgiving (or another day), and donate the money you save to Oxfam. It’s a simple, but powerful, way to show solidarity with families facing hunger worldwide.

Make your donation at oxfamamerica.org/skipmeal.